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Abstract—This paper aims to develop a charging method for mobile applications, i.e. electric vehicle via loosely coupled inductive power transfer (LCIPT) method. This method enables wireless power transmission from a stationary power source to a moving load, which is the electric vehicle in our case. In this paper, a prototype capable to deliver power efficiently from a stationary power source to a moving load is developed. The prototype is designed in a small scale low power model of 12 Volt 5 Watt DC input in order to prove the proposed method is applicable and could be widely used in future works through the evolution of wireless power transfer (WPT) technology. To be specific, in this project, Class E resonant inverter is used to convert the DC source to AC source at 1 MHz resonant frequency. Analysis is done on different configurations and setups of the transmission coils. Compensator circuit is also designed at the transmission coil to boost the power transfer efficiency. The output of the wireless power transmission is tested on DC-motorcar and LED. At the end, a prototype is successfully developed.

Index Terms—Inductive power transfer, develop, WPT, stationary source, moving load, small scale model, low power prototype, LCIPT, electric vehicle

I. INTRODUCTION

Fossil fuels and natural gases consumption have been increasing tremendously over the centuries since the invention of internal combustion engine (ICE) for automobile dated back to 1886, the first petrol or gasoline powered Benz Patent-Motorwagen, an invention of Karl Benz. The combustion of petrol and gasoline releases harmful gases to the atmosphere and pollutes the environment. Despite the effort to reduce the pollution with instalment of filters and engine-fuel combustion efficiency enhancement via the advent of technologies over the era, the fact that pollutants are still being emitted to the atmosphere remains. On top of that, fossil fuels and natural gases are non-renewable resources, and they are estimated to be depleted at the end of the 21st century [1]. Hence, alternative renewable energy resources such as electrical energy are being utilized to curb the problems in the automobile industry.

Electric vehicle (EV) is the alternative solution to reduce fuel consumption and pollution rate. The invention of electric vehicle is attributed to various people. A Hungarian inventor, Ányos István Jedlik invented the early type of electric motor in the year 1828 and created a small model car powered by that motor later. A Vermont blacksmith, Thomas Davenport built a similar small model electric car powered by electrified circular track [2].

One of the major advantages of the EV is that the need for eliminating fossil fuels or natural gases in modern transportation. The electric motor of the EV does not emit harmful gases to the atmosphere. Hence, the air pollution index could be reduced enhancing the air quality making EV environmentally sustainable. However, EV has several disadvantages that distaste the consumers’ choice. The existing EVs have limited range up to 100 miles on road. A longer range would require a bigger cells storage which would consume the space in the vehicle. On top of that, due to the big cells storage, EV requires long recharging time, usually hours. Furthermore, the EVs are more expensive than the conventional medium-sized petrol-powered cars [3-6].

However, the availability of charging stations for EV is low and it takes a long time to completely charge an EV. This problem could be eliminated with wireless power charging technology via the loosely coupled inductive power transfer (LCIPT) method. Through the adoption of wireless power transfer (WPT) technology, the EV could be charged even at motion, providing flexibility, convenience and freedom from being bounded by plug-in power cords at charging point. At the present stage of the WPT technological level, LCIPT is still in the development stage and not ready for high power applications yet [7-15]. Some works that utilized Class E inverter can be found in [16-19], but none of them focusing on the electrical vehicle charging. Thus, this project focuses to develop the concept of LCIPT in a small scale low power model to prove the applicability of LCIPT in EV charging. With further improvements, the concept of motional EV charging could be realized.

The contribution of this paper can be summarized as follows:
1. The Class-E resonant inverter has been designed at 1MHz frequency.
2. The suitable compensation circuit has been proposed to improve the performance of our IPT system.
3. Several coil setups have been done to see the best coil structure for the system.
II. RESEARCH DESIGN

The prototype is designed in a small scale low power model to represent the concept of electric vehicle (EV) charging via the loosely coupled inductive power transfer (LCIPT) method that adopts the approach of the wireless power transfer (WPT) technology. The operation process flow of the prototype model is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Prototype Model Operation Process Flow Block Diagram](image)

The prototype model is powered by DC power supply as DC power supply is stable and consistent. As part of inductive power transfer (IPT), the transmission requires coupled magnetic resonance at certain resonant frequency between the transmitter and the receiver to transmit power. Hence, the DC power is then converted to AC power at the inverter before the transmission stage to provide magnetic resonance at a frequency of 1 MHz and to improve the transmission efficiency. At the transmission line, the primary coils at the transmitter induced the magnetic flux onto the secondary coil. The power output at the receiver-end of the transmission line is affected by the coils design; number of turn, shape of coil windings and type of coils. At the receiver, a rectifier is designed to rectify the induced AC power to DC for more stable and consistent output for the load. The rectifier is followed by a compensator circuit and voltage regulator to regulate the power losses in the transmission line. In this case, the load used the RC-car to represent the EV.

A. Class E Resonant Inverter Circuit

The Class-E inverter is designed and simulated with LTspice software. Through LTspice software, the zero voltage switching can be confirmed at the class-E MOSFET switch. This design is referred to [18-21].

![Figure 2: Class E Resonant Inverter Circuit](image)

The inverter design as shown in Figure 2 consists of a single N-MOSFET switch and a resonant tank. The switching signal in which Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) signal is supplied by the Peripheral Interface Controller (PIC) as PIC is capable of supplying a stable and consistent signal at high frequency.

For Class E inverter, the choke inductance has no specific calculation for the best value. However, the minimal value must be met and it is best to select higher value of $100 \ \mu H$ or $1 \ mH$. The minimal value of choke inductance can be determined by the following formula:

$$L_{choke} = \frac{0.4001R}{2\pi f}$$

Meanwhile, the resonant tank parameter can be calculated using the following formulae as described in [18].

The operating current, $I_o$, is calculated as follows:

$$I_o = \frac{P_{Load}}{V_{CC}}$$

Meanwhile, the shunt capacitance is calculated using the following formula:

$$C_{shunt} = \frac{I_o}{2\pi f V_{CC}}$$

Then, the resonant tank load resistance is calculated as:

$$R_{Load} = \frac{8V_{CC}^2}{\pi^2 f^2 P_{Load}}$$

On the other hand, the resonant tank series inductance is calculated as:

$$L = \frac{QR}{2\pi f} + \frac{1.153R}{2\pi f} = \frac{R(Q+1.153)}{2\pi f}$$

Finally, the resonant tank series capacitance is calculated using the following formula:

$$C = \frac{I_o}{2\pi f QR}$$

B. Wireless Power Transmission Coil Design Method

In this project, two different approaches of transmission coil design for Class E inverter are considered. The first method (Design Method 1) is to tap power output from the load, $R$, of the Class E inverter with a serial compensator (capacitor). This serial compensator will increase the power output at the load of the Class E inverter. The second design method is to alter the Class E inverter itself into wireless power transmission device. This can be done by replacing the resonant tank inductor, $L$, of the Class E inverter into transmission coil and the resonant tank load into the DC-motorcar.

The above two methods of transmission coil are illustrated in the following figures:
In this project, Design Method 1 is more suitable, and is more stable in power transmission. The resonant tank of the Class E inverter circuit is not disturbed or altered. This retains the resonance and the zero voltage switching throughout the power transmission. Due to the loosely coupled inductive power transfer approach used in this project and the fact that the transmission coils are irregular as they are hand-turned, the moving receiver (RX coil) will cause the inductance to fluctuate, hence disturbing the resonance of the Class E inverter resulting in the failure to achieve zero voltage switching. Based on this consideration, Design Method 1 is chosen for this project.

The final circuit parameters are tabulated in Table 1.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuit Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power MOSFET, NMOS</td>
<td>IRF510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Frequency, ( f_o )</td>
<td>1MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input DC supply, ( V_{dc} )</td>
<td>12.0V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated Power, ( P_o )</td>
<td>5.0W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Current, ( I_o )</td>
<td>0.42A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choke Inductor, ( L_{choke} )</td>
<td>1.0mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shunt Capacitor, ( C_{shunt} )</td>
<td>1.8nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series Capacitor, ( C_{series} )</td>
<td>1.0nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series Inductance, ( L_{series} )</td>
<td>30.0µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Serial Capacitor Compensation, ( C_1 ) and ( C_2 )</td>
<td>1.0nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Resistance, ( R_L )</td>
<td>16Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. MAIN RESULTS

#### A. Class E Resonant Inverter Zero Voltage Switching

The measurements taken are Gate voltage \( V_G \), Drain voltage \( V_D \), resonant tank series capacitor voltage \( V_C \), and load voltage \( V_R \). The labels of respective measurements are as shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7.

![Figure 6: Class E Inverter Circuit using LTspice](image)

![Figure 7: Practical Class E Inverter Circuit](image)

For practical implementation, the component values are chosen to the closest value available. Hence, the results obtained from the simulation and practical may differ slightly. The design of the Class E Inverter is simulated with LTSpice software. The Drain-Gate voltage graph is simulated to
determine the zero voltage switching (ZVS) and compared with the practical oscilloscope result.

The ZVS is achieved in both practical as shown in Figure 8 and Figure 9. With ZVS achieved, the power loss on the transmitter side could be reduced, thus improving the transmission efficiency.

The resonant tank series capacitor voltage $V_C$ and the load voltage $V_R$ are also simulated as shown in Figure 10 and compared with the practical one, as shown in Figure 11.

The resonant tank series capacitor voltage $V_C$ shows a great voltage output and a tendency for power transmission. However, when the transmitter TX-coil is connected to the $V_C$ point and ground, the transmitter circuit (Class E inverter) is overloaded and the DC power supply limits the power input to the circuit. Increasing the current limit on the DC power supply resulted in overloading and burning of the components.

Meanwhile, the load voltage $V_R$ output is lower in the practical than the simulation as in Figure 12 and 13 respectively. However, the power output is much more stable than the $V_C$ point. When the TX-coil is connected parallel to the load resistor, the voltage did not change and the power...
output remained the same as the output from the Class E load resistor. The results are tabulated in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>V_G (V)</th>
<th>V_D (V)</th>
<th>ZVS</th>
<th>V_C (V)</th>
<th>V_R (R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>Achieved</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>Achieved</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Transmission TX-RX Coil Results and Analysis

The circuit setup for the following experiments is as shown in Figure 4.

**Experiment 1 – Circular TX-Coil**

For this test analysis, the copper coil is used as the transmitter coil and the litz wire coil is used as the receiver coil. The litz wire coil has fixed (N_RX) 20 turns with ferrite core. The copper coil is 0.25 mm in diameter. The thickness of the board (d_TRACK) is 3 mm. The transmitter core (d_CORE) is 1.3 cm in length and the coil is turned from the bottom of the core by hand. The output voltages measured are AC output from the TX-coil and RX-coil. Figure 14 shows the circular TX-coil track installation with following parameters:

**Fixed parameters:**
- Copper coil 0.25 mm diameter;
- d_TRACK = 3 mm;
- d_CORE = 1.3 cm;
- N_RX = 20 turns

**Variable parameters:**
- Number of turns in transmitter coil (N_TX)
- TX-RX coil alignment; edge to centre
- Gap from coil to core track surface (d_GAP)

The results are recorded in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N_TX</th>
<th>L_TX (mH)</th>
<th>V_RX (V)</th>
<th>d_TX (cm)</th>
<th>d_GAP (cm)</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>V_RX(max) (V)</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.02029</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>22.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.06018</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.05 - 1.65</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>31.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.11014</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.05 - 2.53</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>34.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.30011</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.70 - 1.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>38.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.90220</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.40 - 0.96</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>44.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inductance of the TX-coil is measured using the Digital L.C.R. Meter EDC-1630. The calculations are:

\[
\text{Coil Ratio, } R = \frac{N_{RX}}{N_{TX}} \quad (7)
\]

\[
V_{RX(max)} = R \times V_{TX} \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{Efficiency} = \frac{V_{RX(centre)}}{V_{RX(max)}} \times 100\% \quad (9)
\]

**Experiment 2- Elongated TX-Coil**

The TX-coil is now designed in an elongated manner, while the RX-coils used are litz wire coil and the hand-turned 0.25 mm copper coil for comparison. The TX-coil build is as shown in Figure 14 and Figure 15 and it is hand-turned. Coil length is a = 10 cm.

The DC power supply settings are set to 12 V and current limit to 0.22 A. When the coil is installed on the Class E inverter load resistor, the TX-coil voltage is measured at \( V_{TXO} = 8.6 \) V. The same 3mm thick board as in Experiment 1 is used as a track. The TX-coil is now taped to the board as shown in Figure 16 rather than coiled on the ferrite core as in Figure 14. The ferrite core is then placed under the coil for core-presence analysis. The transmission voltage is measured from end-to-end about the effective and non-effective area. The output voltages measured are AC output from the TX-coil and RX-coil. The result is tabulated in Table 4.

Figure 15: Elongated TX-Coil Structure

Figure 16: Elongated TX-Coil
Table 4
Elongated TX-Coil Transmission Analysis (Current Overloaded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>N_{TX}</th>
<th>N_{RX}</th>
<th>Core Presence</th>
<th>V_{TX} (V)</th>
<th>V_{RXL} (V)</th>
<th>V_{RXE} (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Litz wire</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.3</td>
<td>3.0 – 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>0.9 – 2.5</td>
<td>4.2 – 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.38 – 0.96</td>
<td>3.24 – 4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>2.8 – 4.4</td>
<td>6.0 – 18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.4 – 2.6</td>
<td>5.6 – 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>2.8 – 5.2</td>
<td>7.2 – 34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litz wire</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>0.28 – 1.13</td>
<td>2.53 – 4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.3</td>
<td>3.1 – 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.4</td>
<td>3.9 – 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.6 – 2.0</td>
<td>3.3 – 7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*V_{RXL} is the voltage measured along the non-effective area and *V_{RXE} is the voltage measured along the effective area.

As current is limited to 0.22A from the power supply, the circuit is overloaded, causing the DC power supply turned into a Constant Current (CC) mode. Thus, the voltage output measured is higher than the expected value. This result is not accurate and not suitable for the applications of the battery powered source as the battery source does not provide constant current throughout its supply span. The mutual inductance between the transmission TX-RX coils increases the transmitter voltage, V_{TX}.

The DC power supply current limit is adjusted slightly higher to 0.3 A to eliminate the current overloading issue. Higher current limit setting will burn the components in the Class E inverter as the power rating of the lump components are not high enough. The result is tabulated in Table 5.

Table 5
Elongated TX-Coil Transmission Analysis (Current Not Overloaded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>N_{TX}</th>
<th>N_{RX}</th>
<th>Core Presence</th>
<th>V_{TX} (V)</th>
<th>V_{RXL} (V)</th>
<th>V_{RXE} (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Litz wire</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.28 – 0.88</td>
<td>2.21 – 3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.28 – 1.45</td>
<td>1.77 – 3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>0.36 – 0.56</td>
<td>1.89 – 2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>0.36 – 0.60</td>
<td>1.87 – 3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0.60 – 1.13</td>
<td>2.17 – 3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.42 – 1.61</td>
<td>1.53 – 4.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*V_{RXL} is the voltage measured along the non-effective area and *V_{RXE} is the voltage measured along the effective area.

When the current limit is increased, the Class E inverter is no longer overloaded. The transmission output voltage results are much lower because the DC power supply is not in the CC mode. As the number of coil turns is low, the efficiency is low, and thus the voltage output is low even when the mutual inductance increased the transmitter voltage, V_{TX}.

However, when the coil is reconstructed to about the length, a = 50 cm in the presence of core, N_{TX} = 20 and N_{RX} = 40, the performance of the power transfer dropped significantly. The voltage output as shown in Figure 17 is only 1.35 V at the effective area.

The V_{TX} does not differ much from the coil length, a = 10 cm build as the mutual inductance remained the same. However, as the coil length increases, the losses increase lowering the output voltage.

**Experiment 3 – Parallel Circular TX-Coil**

In contrast to Experiment 2, the TX-coil in this experiment is constructed in a parallel circular manner. Each circular coil has N_{TX} = 20 turns. The RX-coil used in this experiment has N_{RX} of about 120 turns. The TX-coil design is further illustrated in Figure 18 and Figure 19.

When the parallel circular TX-coil is connected to the load resistor of the Class E inverter, the coil input V_{TX} dropped significantly. This is because each circular coil has resistance and the equivalent resistance is lowered when the coils are connected in parallel to the Class E inverter load resistor. Thus, the voltage dropped as the load resistance is lowered as shown by Amp(1) in Figure 20 and Figure 21.
C. DC-motor Power Requirement Analysis

The DC-motorcar requires two AA –batteries (2 x 1.5 V) to operate. The DC-motorcar used in the project is about 10 cm wide by 15 cm length. The DC-motorcar used is shown in Figure 22.

The drop-in the transmitter voltage, $V_{TX}$ results in low output voltage, $V_{RX}$. As the ratio of the TX-RX coil is 1:6, this result shows that the power transmission is not efficient with this coil configuration. Furthermore, when the RX-coil move along the TX-coil track, the output voltage simultaneously turned on and off as the magnetic flux is not cut between each circular TX-coil.

D. LED Moving Load Analysis

The LED is tested on the receiver side by placing the LED directly to the RX-coil (AC power) and through rectifier (DC power). The TX-coil used in this experiment are the parallel circular TX-coil as designed in Figure 19 and a newly fabricated PCB coil as shown in Figure 23 to replace the inefficient elongated TX-coil as designed in Figure 16. The ferrite core is placed on the RX-coil to improve the received power signal waveform.

The results show that the DC-motor requires at least a minimum current and a voltage 1.0 A and 1.0 V to operate. Since the operating current, $I_o$, of the prototype is only 0.4 A as calculated in Section II, the minimum current requirement is not met even though the voltage transferred is more than required. Thus, the load is replaced by LED in order to prove that the LCIPT is able to transfer power wirelessly to a moving load as analyzed in the following section.
As the PCB TX-coil is installed onto the inverter, the input transmission voltage, $V_{TXO}$, dropped from 8.6 V to 6.4 V due to the parallel resistances between the coil and the load of the inverter. The LED lights up as the RX-coil moves along the effective area of the track with and without rectifier.

Meanwhile, as the parallel circular TX-coil is installed onto the inverter, the input transmission voltage, $V_{TXO}$, dropped significantly from 8.6V to 3.4 V. This is due to the resistance of the parallel alignment of each circular coils and the load of the inverter greatly reduced the resistance and thus causing the voltage drop. The LED lights up when it is placed directly aligned on the circular coil. The LED blinked as the RX-coil moves along the track. This shows that the magnetic flux in the transition zone between the circular coils does not cut with the direction of RX-coil motion.

**E. Overall Discussions**

As the prototype designed has insufficient current to power up the DC-motor, the load is replaced with an LED. The LED is tested moving with and without rectifier along the track on both field effective and non-effective area on both elongated and parallel circular TX-coil designs. The LED lights up even on the raw AC output of the RX-coil. This shows that the advantage of high frequency AC power is capable of supplying almost constant DC power to the load from both positive (+V) and negative (-V) cycles. This shows that the concept of wireless power transfer via loosely coupled inductive power transfer method is possible and achievable with further researches and developments.

There are many obstacles faced upon completing this small scale low power prototype. First of all, the design of 5 W, 12 V small scale low power prototype has operating current of $I_O$ of 0.4 A. As analyzed in Section III, the DC-motorcar requires at least minimum current of 1.0 A and voltage of 1.0 V to operate. During the experiments, the supplied current is limited to 0.4 A, which is the operating current of the designed Class E inverter circuit. Tuning the current limit higher than 0.4 A will burn up the circuit components when the circuit is overloaded. This setting itself did not provide sufficient current to drive the DC-motor at the other end, not considering the losses yet. The idea to boost the current with DC-DC boost converter is not applicable because the converter needs a constant $V_{CC}$ to operate. As for this loosely coupled approach used in this project, the output power fluctuates as the RX-coil move along the TX-coil track.

The circuit often overloads when the transmission coils are connected due to the power transmission drawing high current for transmission. Increasing the supply current limit will overcome this issue, but it will burn the components in the Class E inverter. This is because the components used are common lump components with low power rating. High power rating components are expensive and not affordable in this low budget project. The circuitry works best if the circuit is built with surface mount high power rating components used in industries. However, this idea could not be realized due to the budget limitation. The component that often burns up is the capacitor and the inductor. To overcome this issue, the capacitors are connected in parallel to increase the power rating. Instead of using one capacitor of the nearest value, the parallel connection sums up the value to the nearest capacitance value needed for the circuitry. For the inductor, the inductor is custom coiled with a ring ferrite core and copper wire. The inductance is then measured with Digital L.C.R. Meter EDC-1630 available in the laboratory and further modified to reach the nearest inductance value needed.

The power transmission efficiency of the TX-RX transmission coil in the prototype built is very low. This is due to the coils are being hand-turned and they are irregular in shape. This affects the flux distribution throughout the effective area. Distortions and neighbouring flux interferences reduced the power transmission efficiency significantly. As the power is transmitted wireless via induction, the transmission medium plays a crucial role in the power transmission efficiency. Magnetisation capability of the medium material affects the flux density, B, and air has the lowest flux density saturation compared to iron and steel according to the Magnetisation (B-H) Curve as in Figure 24.

The approach of loosely coupled inductive power transfer (LCIPT) used in this project is to eliminate the need of transmission medium as in transformer and enables the freedom of motion during power transmission but at the cost of efficiency drop.

The power transmission efficiency of this approach is improved by better design of TX-coil instalment on track. The TX-coil is taped under the corrugated plastic board (track) to remove the core gap and thus reduce the transmission gap to only the thickness of the plastic board, which is 3 mm. This design produced better results than the previous design, which is the coil is turned around the cylinder core. The design is illustrated in Figure 25.
The best core to be used on this design is flat-plated ferrite core. However, this type of core is hard to be found on store as commercial ferrite cores are produced in cylindrical shaped.

IV. CONCLUSION

The concept of moving load wireless charging, which enables the freedom of motion during charging is proven possible and achievable. However, the efficiency of this loosely coupled method approach is much lower than the properly coupled magnetic resonance method. In exchange for freedom of motion while charging, this concept pays the cost with its efficiency. Nevertheless, the power transmission efficiency in this research is low because the prototype model is mostly hand-crafted, including the coils windings. However, the efficiency can be increased with high power input and better transmission coils design. The low power input design of this project limits the current supply, thus reducing the magnetisation field strength in the transmission coils. Hence, the lower induction power resulted in low efficiency power transfer. Due to the many limitations such as resource funds and component choices, the current rating could not be improved and thus the prototype has low power transmission efficiency and is not capable to drive the 1.5 A DC-motorcar. A LED is used to show that the power transfer is possible as the load moves across the field effective area on the track and the concept is proven possible and achievable. Notice that in this work, the designed Class E inverter load is mismatched with the real load; therefore the efficiency obtained in this paper is not good enough. Therefore, it is best to see in the future the performance of the system if the mentioned resistance value is matched to each other.
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